CSES Module 2:

Sample Design and Data Collection Report

Country (Date of Election):

Type of Election (e.g. presidential; parliamentary; legislative): Legislative Organization that Conducted the Survey Field Work: Consulta, S.A.

Investigators Responsible for Data Collection Name: <u>Ulises Beltrán Ugarte</u>
Fax: 525 52-56-05-10
Phone: <u>525 52-11-30-44</u>
E-mail: ulisesbeltran@bgc.com.mx
Name: Benito Nacif
Address: CIDE.
Carretera México, Toluca
No. 3655 (Km 16.5)
Col. Lomas de Santa Fe
México, D.F 01210
México
Fax: <u>525 57-27-98-71</u>
Phone: <u>525 57-27-98-28.</u>
E-mail: benito.nacif@cide.edu
Name: Rolando Ocampo Alcantar
Address: Constituyentes #161, 2° piso
San Miguel Chapultepec
México
Fax: 52-71-38-65
Phone: 52-76-79-20
E-mail: rolandoocampo@prodigy.net.mx
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Name: Olivia Pérez
Address: Saltillo 63, Col Hipódromo Condesa
México, D.F. C.P 06100
México
Fax: 525 52-56-05-10
Phone: <u>525 52-11-30-44</u>
E-mail: oliviaperez@bgc.com.mx

Languages used in Interviews: (Please provide copies of all survey instruments, and translation for those that were not conducted in English)
A. Study Design * Post-Election Study □ Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: July 19 th , 2003. Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: July 26 th , 2003. If Panel Study: Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began: Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended: Mode of (post-election) interview: * In person, face-to-face □ Telephone □ Mail or self-completion supplement
 B. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures 1. Eligibility Requirements a) Age: Minimum18 Maximum90 b) Citizenship: Yes □ No * c) Other requirements:
2. Sample Frame:a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?No * Yes □:
b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample? No □ Yes *
c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample? No * Yes □:
d) If interviews were conducted by telephone: What is the estimated percentage of households without a phone:% Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled? Yes □ No □ Were substitution methods used for unproductive sample points? No * Yes □:
were substitution methods used for unproductive sample points? No * Yes □: There were not unproductive sample points

f) Estimated total ($a + b + c + d + e$) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: D.K but negligible
2. Sample Selection Procedures: a) What were the primary sampling units? Were the primary sampling units randomly selected? No □ Yes * (Please Describe): The primary sampling units were electoral precincts clusters. The clusters were defined as groups of all of the precincts with similar electoral results and belonging to the same county (municipality).
b) Were there further stages of selection? No \(\sigma\) Yes * (Please Describe): The second stage were the precincts within the clusters, the third were households within the precinct and the last stage was the respondent in the selected household
c) How were individual respondents identified? Respondents in the household were not selected randomly. Interviewers followed quotas of gender and age, taken from census data from 2000.
e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non- sample? (Check all that apply)
* Non-residential sample point
* All members of household are ineligible
* Housing unit is vacant
* No answer at housing unit after callbacks□ Other, explain:
f) Were non-sample replacement methods used? No ☐ Yes *
(Please Describe): Following the same systematic selection used for the sample line
For surveys conducted by telephone: Was the sample a random digit dial sample? Yes \(\sqrt{\text{No}} \) No \(\sqrt{\text{Was}} \) Was the sample a listed sample? Yes \(\sqrt{\text{No}} \) No \(\sqrt{\text{Was}} \) Was the sample a dual frame? No \(\sqrt{\text{Yes}} \) With % list frame and % RDD For surveys conducted by mail: Was the sample a listed sample? Yes \(\sqrt{\text{No}} \) No \(\sqrt{\text{Please Describe}} \):
4. Compliance
a) Prior to the study was:
a letter sent to respondent? No * Yes \(\sigma\) (Please Include with Deposit

payment sent to respondent? No * Yes □, in the amount of:
a token gift sent to respondent? No * Yes ☐ (Please Describe):
any other incentives used? No * Yes ☐ (Please Describe):
b) During the Field Period
How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it nonsample? Three
How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it noninterview?
<u>Three</u>
Mayimum mumbar of days area which a household was contacted.
Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted:
No ☐ Yes * (Please Describe): <u>Depending on each region</u>
c) Refusal Conversion
Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be
interviewed? No \(\sigma\) Yes * (Please Describe): Explaining the importance of the
study
Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading
them to take part? No \(\sigma\) Yes * (Please Describe/ Include with Deposit):
Tes * (Flease Describe/ flictude with Deposit).
Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? No *
Yes \(\begin{align*}
Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more
experienced interviewer? Yes \(\square\) No *
What was the maximum number of re-contacts used to persuade respondent to be
interviewed? One
mer viewed. Girc
Were any other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed
to take part? No * Yes • (Please Describe):
to take part. 100 Tes = (Tease Describe).
5. Response Rate (to first wave if a panel study)
Total number of sample lines issued: 3,839
Number of refusals: 9
Number never contacted (no-contact): 1848

Other non-response:N.A Number of lines of non-sample: Total number of completed interviews: 1991 Response Rate: 52%
Panel Attrition (NOTE: Complete only if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study): Total number of respondents in Wave I of the study: Number of Wave I respondents reinterviewed in wave containing CSES Module: Percent total panel attrition: Panel attrition by age and education: (% re-interviewed): 18-25% None% 26-40% Incomplete primary% 41-65% Primary completed% 65 & over% Incomplete secondary% Secondary completed% University incomplete% University degree%
6. Sample Weights a) Are weights included in the data-file? No ☐ Yes * (Please Describe their Construction): Sampling units were selected with unequal probabilities. Weights are estimated and used to correct for this unequal probabilities. Poststratification weights were estimated to correct for non-response and to match known demographic characteristics of the population (gender and age).
b) Are the weights designed to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? No * Yes ☐ (Please Describe): <u>Unnecessary because all estimates in the sample design are made for the total population not the total number of households</u>
c) Are the weights designed to match known demographic characteristics of the population? No Yes * (Please Describe):
d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? No ☐ Yes * (Please Describe): This correction is made at the precinct level
7. a) Please describe the interviewers (age, level of education, and years of experience):

51 interviewers, male 29, female 22, ages 18 to 49, minimum secondary school, at least two years experience as interviewers.....

b) Description of interviewer training:

Interviewers are trained in a four to five hours session with the main responsible of the questionnaire design based in a previously prepared manual with all questions and codes. Each question is discussed and some interviews are simulated. Several persuasion approaches are proposed based in a detailed description of he study and its importance.

XIV. Comparison of Sample to Population

Characteristic	Population estimates	Unweighted	Weighted
Age			
18-25	26	18.8	25.8
26-40	37.5	38.5	37.5
41-65	29.0	35.2	29.5
65 and over	7.5	7.4	7.2
Education			
None	na	6.7	6.7
Incomplete Primary	na	18.2	18.2
Primary Completed	na	19.4	19.5
Incomplete Secondary	na	7.4	7.4
Secondary Completed	na	17.6	17.7
Post-Secondary Trade/Vocational	na	18.1	18.2
Incomplete University	na	5.3	5.3
University Degree	na	7.1	7.1
Gender			
Male	48.8	46.3	47.7
Female	51.2	53.7	52.3